# **Determining Value of Transportation Research: Methods, Measures, and Data Sources** Mohsen Shahandashti, Baabak Ashuri, PhD, DBIA, and Mehdi Tavakolan, PhD



# Introduction

- The ultimate purpose of transportation research projects is to improve various features of transportation systems, such as safety, quality and cost effectiveness.
- However, the true impact of transportation research projects on the transportation systems is generally unclear.

### **Major Problem**

- Lack of awareness about existing quantitative and qualitative methods for determining the value of transportation research projects
- Lack of awareness about existing measures and data sources for determining the value of transportation research projects

## **Research Background**

- Florida DOT (Two research reports) Review, Analyze and Develop Benefit Cost/Return on Investment Equations, Guidelines and Variables (2003) Valuing the Benefits of Transportation Research: A Matrix Approach (2002)
- Ohio DOT (Two research reports) Evaluation of ODOT Research and Development Implementation Effectiveness (1988) Benefit-Cost Analysis of Transportation Research Projects (1992)
- Kentucky DOT (One research report) Research report: Value of research: SPR projects (2001)
- Utah DOT (One research report) Measuring the benefits of transportation research in Utah
- Minnesota DOT (One research report)
- Economic benefits from road research (2008)
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Performance Measurement Tool Box and Reporting System for Research Programs and Projects, NCHRP Project 20-63 RPM

Communication matters: a guidebook published by National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP), Report 610, Available from Transportation Research Board (TRB) (2009)

- Transportation Research Board **Research Pays Off**
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)

Research Impacts: Better - Cheaper – Faster

## **Research Objectives**

- The overall objective of this project was to synthesize the best practices for determining the value of research results, in order to demonstrate the impact that the research has on transportation system features, such as safety, quality and cost effectiveness.
  - Identifying methods used for determining the value of transportation Research
  - Identifying various measures and data sources used for determining value of research.

## **Research Approach**

- Review literature on determining value of research results
- Conduct three fact-finding surveys
  - Survey 1 was conducted to capture state of knowledge and practice in determining value of research in DOTs
  - Surveys 2 and 3 were conducted to collect best examples for determining value of transportation research
- Perform content analysis on the best examples for determining value of transportation research

Economics of Sustainable Built Environment (ESBE) Lab Georgia Institute of Technology

# **Identified Methods to Determine Value of Research**

Areas of Benefit	Benefit Analysis									Benefit	Benefit (Dollar)	Life Cycle	Analysis of Disseminat
	Before and After Study	Statistical Analysis	Simulation Analysis	Assumption- based Estimation	Field Exp.	Lab Exp.	Revenue Estimation Modeling	Surveys	Benefit in other areas	(Dollar) Analysis	/Cost (Dollar) Analysis	Cost Analysis	ion of research output
Safety	7,10,24,25, 41	10	5,10	1,3,27,28	8					3,5,41	1,11,27,28		
Environmental Sustainability	24		1	4	26,35,36	6,13,31,35				1,4,6,13,26,36			
Improved Productivity and Work Efficiency				3,15,23,37	14,20					3,15,20,23,37	11		
Traffic and Congestion Reduction	24,25		27,28,40		3					3	1,27,28		
Reduced Construction, O&M Costs										6,14,21,23,25, 26,,29,30,34,3 5,36,37,38,39, 42	11,13,18,28	16,33	
Management and Policy							9						
Customer Satisfaction					1			25			1		
System Reliability				4									
Expedited Project Delivery													
Engineering Design Imp.		12	30						8	8,30			
Increased Service Life		26			19,32	16,33				19,32		16,33	
Reduced User Cost									1,3,5,11.27 ,28				
Reduced Administrative Cost											11		
Materials and Pavements									13,16,18,1 9,30,32,34, 38				
ITS													2

# Identified Data Sources

The data sources for determining value of research in each area of benefit are identified.

For example, in the area of safety:

Data Sources for determining value of safety research **Cost Savings** Economic value of reduction in secondary raffic dataset: Detectors set up by INDOT **Crashes or Injuries** crashes from NHTSA report entitled, "The cometry dataset: Google Earth and Super Crash dataset: Indiana State Police Crash Data Records Economic Impact of Motor Vehicle crashes ) work zone drawing 2000" (Blincoe et al., 2002) Secondary crash rates from a study of the service patrol in the Los Angeles Weather dataset: National Climatic Data area (Moore et al., 2004) Equivalent unit crash cost is extracted for each county from North Carolina Secondary crash reduction rates from a study of the Hoosier Helper program n northwestern Indiana and a comprehensive study of the benefits of the Maintenance dataset: Super 70 work zone Cost of crashes provided by agency service patrol in the Hudson Valley region of New York State drawing AASHTO User Benefit Analysis for Geometric, traffic, and crash data provided for the entire population of rural, two-lane, undivided road segments in Pennsylvania (1997–2001 and 2003– Enforcement dataset: Super 70 work zone Highways Handbook activity log 2006) and Washington (1993–1996 and 2002–2003) http://www.inflationdata.com/, Census Crash data for year's 2006, 2007 and 2008 collected from North Carolina (2005-2009 Average) data, "Intelligent Transportation Systems Benefit: 2001 Department of Transportation PennDOT iTMS data and PennDOT ATR counts, and number of crashes within the limits of the ramp metering from the data given by PennDOT Crash data archived by Florida DOT Field data Output of simulation models

© 2014, Economics of the Sustainable Built Environment (ESBE) Lab

# **Identified Measures**

The measures for determining value of research in each area of benefit are identified.

For example, in the area of Environmental Sustainability:



Outcomes of surveys



project.

1 "An Evaluation of the Benefits of the Alabama Service and Assistance Patrol" by Alabama DOT 2 "Systems Engineering Guidebook by DOT" by California DOT 3 "Mobile Work Zone Barrier" by California DOT 4 "A Study of Bus Propulsion Technologies Applicable in Connecticut and Demonstration and Evaluation of Hybrid Diesel-Electric Transit" by Connecticut DOT 5 "Rural Road Low Cost Safety Improvements" by FHWA 6 "Evaluation of Pollution Levels Due to the Use of Consumer Fertilizers under Florida Conditions" by Florida DOT 7 "Operational and Safety Impacts of Restriping Inside Lanes of Urbane multilane Curbed Roadways to 11 Feet or Less to Create Wider Outside Curb Lanes for Bicyclists" by Florida DOT 8 "Development and Evaluation of Devices Designed to Minimize Deer-vehicle Collisions (Phase II)" by Georgia DOT 9 "Assessment of the Impact of Future External Factors on Road Revenues" by Georgia DOT 10 "Improving Safety in High-Speed Work Zones: A Super 70 Study" by Indiana DOT 11 "Winter Operations Geographic Positioning Systems and Automatic Vehicle Location" by Iowa DOT 12 "Calibration of Resistance Factors Needed in the LRFD Design of Driven Piles and Drilled Shafts" by Louisiana DOT 13 "Evaluation of Ternary Cementitous Combinations" by Louisiana DOT 14 "Development and Performance Assessment of an FRP Strengthened Balsa-Wood Bridge Deck for Accelerated Construction" by Louisiana DOT 15 "Evaluation of Surface Resistivity Measurements as an Alternative to the Rapid Chloride Permeability Test for Quality Assurance and Acceptance" by Louisiana

16 "Accelerated Loading Evaluation of Subbase Layers in Pavement Performance" by Louisiana DOT 17 "Evaluation of Surface Resistivity Measurements as an Alternative to the Rapid Chloride Permeability Test for Quality Assurance and Acceptance" by Louisiana DOT

18 "Mechanistic Flexible Pavement Overlay Design Program" by Louisiana DOT 19 "Cost Effective Prevention of Reflective Cracking of Composite Pavement" by Louisiana DOT 20 "Implementation of Rolling Wheel Deflectometer (RWD) in PMS and Pavement Preservation" by Louisiana DOT 21 "A Sensor Network System for the Health Monitoring of the Parkview Bridge Deck" by Michigan DOT 22 "Economic benefits resulting from road research performed at MnROAD" by Minnesota DOT 23 "MsDOT Implementation Plan for GPS Technology in Planning, Design, and Construction Delivery" by Mississippi DOT 24 "Evaluation of an Adaptive Traffic Signal System: Route 291 in Lee's Summit, Missouri" by Missouri DOT 25 "Diverging Diamond Interchange Performance Evaluation (I-44 & Route 13) and Diverging Diamond Lessons Learned document" by Missouri DOT 26 "Evaluation of Life Expectancy of LED Traffic Signals and Development of a Replacement Schedule" by Missouri DOT 27 "Placement of Detection Loops on High Speed Approaches to Traffic Signals" by North Carolina DOT 28 "Freeway Ramp Management Strategies" by Pennsylvania DOT 29 "Use of Fine Graded Asphalt Mixes Project 0-6615" by Texas DOT 30 "Development of an Advanced Overlay Design System Incorporating Both Rutting and Reflection Cracking Requirements" by Texas DOT 31 "Retrofitting Culverts and Fish Passage-Phase II" by Utah DOT 32 "Examination of an implemented asphalt permeability specification" by Virginia DOT 33 "Analysis of Full-Depth Reclamation Trial Sections in Virginia" by Virginia DOT 34 "Investigation of the use of tear-off shingles in asphalt concrete" by Virginia DOT 35 "Recycling of Salt-Contaminated Stormwater Runoff for Brine Production" by Virginia DOT 36 "An assessment of the Virginia Department of Transportation's Animal Carcass Disposal Practices and Guidance for the Selection of Alternative Carcass-Management Options" by Virginia DOT 37 "Geotechnical Data Management at the Virginia Department of Transportation" by Virginia DOT 38 "Performance of Virginia's Warm-Mix Asphalt Trials" by Virginia DOT 39 "Field Comparison of the Installation and Cost of Placement of Epoxy-Coated and MMFX 2 Steel Deck Reinforcement: Establishing a Baseline for Future Deck Monitoring" by Virginia DOT 40 "Bituminous Surface Treatment Protocol" by Washington DOT 41 "Development and Application of Safety Performance Functions for Illinois" by Illinois DOT





## **Categories of Identified Data Sources**

Literature (Scholarly papers, databases, reports, etc.)

- Data provided by DOTs, FHWA, TRB, AASHTO (Performance records, ...)
- Data provided by manufacturers
- Outcomes of lab experiments
- Outcomes of field experiments
- Outcomes of simulation studies

Assumptions (Based on judgment, experience, literature, etc.)

#### Conclusions

• Existing quantitative and qualitative methods, measures and data sources for determining the value of transportation research projects were identified and classified.

#### **Research Path Forward**

• Developing a systematic and transparent approach to determine value of transportation research.

• The proposed approach should be both scalable and flexible, and easy to understand and follow

• The proposed methods and measures should not prohibit innovative ways to objectively determine value of research.

• Developing a guidebook

• Flexibility is the key to create such a guidebook.

• A proper guidebook should facilitate communicating value of research.

• Training is the key to succeed in implementing a proper guidebook

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Southeast Transportation Consortium (STC) and the Louisiana Transportation Research Center (LTRC) for sponsoring this

### References

42 "Development of Procedures for Determining the Axial Capacity of Drilled Shafts Founded in Illinois Shale" by Illinois DOT